

1976 S.C. Op. Atty. Gen. 315 (S.C.A.G.), 1976 S.C. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 4456, 1976 WL 23073

Office of the Attorney General

State of South Carolina

Opinion No. 4456

September 20, 1976

***1 RE: Greenville Transit Authority**

Purvis W. Collins
Director
South Carolina Retirement System
Banker Trust Tower
P. O. Box 11960
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Dear Mr. Collins:

You have inquired as to whether the Greenville Transit Authority established pursuant to 1973 Act No. 417 meets the requirements for retirement coverage. In order for the Transit Authority to meet these requirements it must fall within the definition contained at Section 61-1 subsection 5, 1962 Code of Laws.

Provisions of the 1973 Act provide at Section 2 paragraph 1 that any Authority created under this Act would be a body politic and corporate. The Act goes on to state that two or more counties, municipalities, or political subdivisions may form together and create an Authority. The Authority thus created is granted various powers as enumerated at Section 5 of the 1973 Act. As such, the Greenville Transit Authority would fall within the definition of employer as stated at Section 61-1 subsection 5 as it is a political subdivision of the State created under authority granted by the General Assembly and given various powers.

With kindest regards, I am
Very truly yours,

Cameron B. Littlejohn, Jr.
Staff Attorney

1976 S.C. Op. Atty. Gen. 315 (S.C.A.G.), 1976 S.C. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 4456, 1976 WL 23073

End of Document

© 2017 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.