

1973 WL 27754 (S.C.A.G.)

Office of the Attorney General

State of South Carolina

February 5, 1973

**\*1 Re: Request for opinion on legality of 'head of household' salary supplement**

Dr. B. E. Morton, Jr.  
Superintendent  
School District #1  
Williamston, South Carolina

Dear Dr. Morton:

Your letter of January 31, 1973 relative to the above-referenced question has been given to me for reply. Before I can frame a suitable response I need additional information regarding the 'head of household' salary supplement.

Specifically I need to know whether married men must have dependent children in order to qualify for the salary supplement. I also need to know whether or not the restriction on the source of income that is applicable to married women also applies to married men before they qualify for the salary supplement. As soon as I have received the requested information from you, I will prepare a suitable response to your letter.

Very truly yours,

Ellison D. Smith, IV  
Assistant Attorney General

1973 WL 27754 (S.C.A.G.)

---

End of Document

© 2019 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.